

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Southwest Clean Air Agency				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 16-23-080 Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).				
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)				
SWCAA 802-130 Fees This rule contains requirements for recovering the cost of performing environmental threshold determinations in preparing or reviewing an environmental checklist. The rule provides for fees to recover these costs depending on the size of the project.				
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:			
Office of SWCAA 11815 NE 99 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1294 Vancouver, WA 98682	Name: Paul Mairose Address: 11815 NE 99 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1294 Vancouver, WA 98682 e-mail Paul@swcleanair.org fax (360) 576-0925 by (date) April 28, 2017			
Date: May 4, 2017 Time: 3:00 PM	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact			
Date of intended adoption: May 4, 2017	Tina Hallock by May 2, 2017			
(Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)  Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an	TTY (360) 574-3058			
The proposed rule change removes reference to specific fees and directs the reader to consult the current Consolidated Fee Schedule.  Reasons supporting proposal:  This proposed change is part of a process to consolidate all Agency fees into a single location to make it easier for affected parties to locate applicable fees. It will also remove the fees from the rule and establish a process for public notice and Board consideration of changes via Board Resolution without going through the complicated and lengthy rule making process. The procedure for adoption and revision of the Consolidated Fee Schedule is provided for under SWCAA 400-098.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.94.141	Statute being implemented: RCW 70.94.141			
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY			
Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:  Yes No Yes No Yes No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  DATE: February 01, 2017			
DATE February 1, 2017	TIME: 11:51 AM			
NAME Uri Papish	WSR 17-04-112			
SIGNATURE				
TITLE Executive Director				

Agency comment fiscal matters:	its or recommendations, if a	any, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo	rcement, and
None.			
	ent: (person or organization)		☐ Private
Counwest	near 7 ii 7 igeriey		Public
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:		
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting P	aul Mairose	11815 NE 99 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1294, Vancouver, WA 98682	(360) 574-3058
ImplementationP	aul Mairose	11815 NE 99 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1294, Vancouver, WA	(360) 574-3058
Enforcement U	ri Papish	11815 NE 99 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1294, Vancouver, WA 98682	(360) 574-3058
		ement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or h	nas a school
district fiscal imp	pact statement been prepare	ed under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	
☐ Yes. Attacl	n copy of small business ecor	nomic impact statement or school district fiscal impact s	tatement.
A conv	y of the statement may be obta	ained by contacting:	
Nam	e:	amou by contacting.	
Addr	ess:		
phor	ne ( )		
fax			
e-ma	nil		
⊠ No. Explai	n why no statement was prepa	ared.	
Changes proposed	by SWCAA are consistent with F	ederal or State rules already in effect. This agency is not sub	piect to the small
business economic impact provision of RCW 19.85. A fiscal analysis has been performed to establish the basis for any proposed fee			
increases. Copies of this analysis are available from SWCAA.			
Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?	
_			
☐ Yes A pr Nam		s may be obtained by contacting:	
Addr			
phor fax	ne ( )		
e-ma	il		
⊠ No: Plea	se explain:		
_	·	optor 402. Love of 4005. doop and make this sale advantage	SIMO A A in most
	// 70.94.141(1), Section 201, Cha ing Section 201, Chapter 403, La	apter 403, Laws of 1995, does not apply to this rule adoption. aws of 1995 for this action.	SVVCAA IS NOT